

The Corporate Villainy of Tom Sawyer

Manufactured scarcity, the ethics of attention, and the literary prehistory of dark patterns.



The first dark pattern was painted onto a fence in 1876.

The whitewashing scene is usually read as a parable of value, or a celebration of the lovable rascal. Read a third way, it is a complete schematic of the extraction economy: **manufactured scarcity, engineered desire, value harvested from a manipulated audience.** Tom is not the hero. He is the prototype.

Tom is the engagement designer who optimizes a system against the people inside it, a century and a half before the attention economy industrialized the move.

One saw a mechanism and declined to judge it. One saw a hero and declined to look.

THE ECONOMISTS

The construction of value

The same act, coded as drudgery or delight by framing. True, but neither good nor bad; the transaction is left in the dark.

THE CULTURE

The admirable rascal

We are positioned with the con artist, never his marks. Rush's anthem of the "unrentable mind", named for the boy who rents out everyone else's.

Escape was enough. He sailed past it on purpose.

01

The baseline

He first tries to *buy his way out*, offering his marble. Escape is all he wants. That marks where "enough" is.

02

The mechanism

Not engagement, **manufactured scarcity**. "To make a person covet a thing, make it difficult to attain." Inducement, not motivation.

03

The satiation point

Met at the first apple. He could stop, innocent. Instead he **reverses polarity**, paid to escape, and keeps collecting.

Legitimate self-interest has a satiation point: the need is met, the taking stops. Tom treats that point as a floor, not a ceiling.

He never lifts the brush.

Across the whole episode, Tom is the one figure present who does not paint the fence. **The designer of the desire does not partake of the thing he made desirable**, because he knows there is nothing there to partake of.



EARNED

Value is genuinely created

The activity is really made worth doing, and the designer is inside it, playing. The teacher who loves the subject. The field-game's inventors.

The designer partakes.

VS

ENGINEERED

Only the wanting is new

No value is added, only desire for access to a hollow good, by scarcity, exclusivity, urgency. The player is not a participant. He is a mark.

The designer abstains.

THE DIAGNOSTIC When the makers of a thing will not let it near the people they love, the thing is built for marks, not for use. Abstention is the confession.

Several modern patterns, assembled into one working system, in 1876.

IN THE FENCE	THE MODERN NAME
Access to the brush made rare	Manufactured scarcity
"The chance is fleeting"	False urgency
A queue of eager boys, each validating the next	Social proof
Redirecting attention, reframing value	Attention-capture deceptive design

A dead rat on a string

1876 · paid for a turn at the brush

=

A limited-time skin

2026 · bought behind a countdown

The desire is produced by impending unavailability, not by any feature of the thing. **The triviality of the object is not a defense, it is the camouflage.** A con in apples and kittens reads as comedy, and that is how a complete model of harm stayed beloved for 150 years.

Their wanting was authored by someone whose interest is opposed to theirs.

Artifacts have politics

The fence is a machine for converting children's desire into Tom's wealth. (*Winner*)

Technologies mediate desire

He doesn't satisfy a want the boys arrive with, he produces it. (*Verbeek · Fogg*)

It erodes self-determination

The harm is to the capacity to want what we want to want. (*Williams*)

*To engineer against the capacity to disengage falls hardest on those for whom disengaging is already most costly, **it is to engineer against the disability itself.***

The man who named the Gilded Age narrated its con as cleverness.

Twain coined "Gilded Age," called the capitalist the oppressor, yet told the whitewashing as a triumph and never flagged the law as predatory. **What was ahead of its time was not the mechanism, but the diagnosis.**

"He was himself a speculator, forever chasing the apple."

The fork the scene contains, between the honest maker who participates and the extractor who manufactures and abstains, ran through its author's own life.

OBJECTION 1

"It crushes a joke."

Twain's *own narrator* universalizes it into "a great law of human action." The scaling is licensed by the text, not imposed on it.

OBJECTION 2

"Nothing new."

Critics read Tom as compromised (the cruelty in *Huck Finn*), an ally, not a rival. None gave the suspicion economic and design-theoretic content.

OBJECTION 3

"It proves too much."

It condemns only the **engineered** ethic, and supplies the abstention test to tell the two apart in any concrete case.

"Tom would not let anyone near the brush except to pay for it. That, and not his cleverness, is the thing the scene has been trying to tell us, in the key of comedy, since 1876."

Treat manufactured scarcity and engineered desire as objects of default suspicion. And let the honest tradition of gamification submit to the abstention test: show that its designers would let their own children near the thing they have built.

The whitewashed fence is the first dark pattern. We have admired it for a century and a half.

— REFERENCES

Gilly, T. (2026). *The Corporate Villainy of Tom Sawyer: Manufactured Scarcity, the Ethics of Attention, and the Literary Prehistory of Dark Patterns*. Working paper (v1), Real Safety AI Foundation.

Ariely, D., Loewenstein, G., & Prelec, D. (2006). Tom Sawyer and the construction of value. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 60(1), 1–10.

Brignull, H. (2010). Deceptive design (formerly Dark Patterns). Retrieved from <https://www.deceptive.design>

Federal Trade Commission. (2022, December 19). Fortnite video game maker Epic Games to pay more than \$500 million over FTC allegations of privacy violations and unwanted charges [Press release]. Retrieved from <https://www.ftc.gov>

Fogg, B. J. (2003). Persuasive technology: Using computers to change what we think and do. Morgan Kaufmann.

Kim, H. (2021). Can mandating corporate social responsibility backfire? *Journal of Empirical Legal Studies*, 18(1), 189–251.

Lee, G., Lifeson, A., Peart, N., & Dubois, P. (1981). Tom Sawyer [Song]. On Moving Pictures. Anthem/Mercury.

Lepper, M. R., Greene, D., & Nisbett, R. E. (1973). Undermining children’s intrinsic interest with extrinsic reward: A test of the “overjustification” hypothesis. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 28(1), 129–137.

Marx, L. (1953). Mr. Eliot, Mr. Trilling, and Huckleberry Finn. *The American Scholar*, 22(4), 423–440.

Mathur, A., Acar, G., Friedman, M. J., Lucherini, E., Mayer, J., Chetty, M., & Narayanan, A. (2019). Dark patterns at scale: Findings from a crawl of 11K shopping websites. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*, 3(CSCW), 1–32.

Mathur, A., Kshirsagar, M., & Mayer, J. (2021). What makes a dark pattern. . . dark? Design attributes, normative considerations, and measurement methods. In *Proceedings of the 2021 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (pp. 1–18).

— REFERENCES (CONTINUED)

Monge Roffarello, A., Lukoff, K., & De Russis, L. (2023). Defining and identifying attention capture deceptive designs in digital interfaces. In Proceedings of the 2023 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (pp. 1-19).

Twain, M. (1876). The Adventures of Tom Sawyer. American Publishing Company. Twain, M. (1958). The new dynasty (1886). In P. S. Foner, Mark Twain: Social critic. International Publishers.

Twain, M. (1990). The autobiography of Mark Twain. (C. Neider, Ed.). Harper & Row.

Twain, M., & Warner, C. D. (1873). The Gilded Age: A tale of today. American Publishing Company.

Verbeek, P.-P. (2011). Moralizing technology: Understanding and designing the morality of things. University of Chicago Press.

Williams, J. (2018). Stand out of our light: Freedom and resistance in the attention economy. Cambridge University Press.

Winner, L. (1980). Do artifacts have politics? Daedalus, 109(1), 121-136.